## Topic 7: Cluster Analysis

## Case 4: Value at Risk

using Daily Observations on Asset Prices

- Pages 104-110. K-means.


## Reading Assignment

Berry and Linoff (2000)

- Pages 104-110. K-means.


## Topic Objective

- Describe the problem
- Describe a visualization method
- Describe cluster analysis tools
- Apply to the value at risk problem
- Evaluate results


## Value at Risk

The number of assets in a value at risk computation usually exceeds 100 , which limits the methods that can be employed.

The classical approach is to estimate the mean vector and the variance-covariance matrix of daily asset returns and use an assumption of multivariate normality to compute the $5 \%$ quantile of a portfolio comprised of a weighted sum of the assets.

The mean and variance can be estimated unconditionally. Or a more sophisticated conditional analysis using a GARCH specification of the variance-covariance matrix can be used.

## Value at Risk

Some approaches robustify the analysis by using conditional means and quantiles or by using fat-tailed distributions instead of the normal.

The most sophisticated approaches use extreme value theory. But the extreme value theory approach is severely hampered by the lack of an adequate multivariate distribution theory.

All of these approaches are model based and therefore at risk of serious error if model assumptions are violated.

Being model based, they suffer from the curse of dimensionality and all have limits on the number of assets that can be analyzed.

## Conditional Behavior

One interesting fact that has been noted in the literature on value at risk is that asset correlations are different in expansions and contractions of the economy.

Similarly for bull and bear markets.

## Value at Risk

We shall use data mining techniques - clustering methods in particular - to gain insights on value at risk issues.

We shall take the view of a leveraged investor who does not want to risk either bankruptcy or an involuntarily liquidation of securities in a market crash.

We shall also see if asset groupings change in rallies.

Most importantly, our methods are not model based and there is no limit on the number of assets that can be considered.

## The Data

The data are one day holding period returns from 1971 through 2006 on the following assets:

- Treasury bonds at 1, 3, 5, 7, and 10 year maturities
- Euro to Dollar and Yen to Dollar exchange rates
- The Fama-French value weighted portfolios
- Nasdaq, NYSE, and S\&P500 index portfolios
- Value weighted two-digit SIC Code portfolios


## The Data

All data, data sources, code, graphics, etc. are on the website in directory (folder)

## datamine/cases/assets

There are 79 portfolios and 8442 days of returns. The data contain a few missing values.

Severe missing value problems account for the lack of short term Treasury debt, commercial paper, and corporate debt.

## Data Structure

1. The features are the returns for each trading day; $p=8442$.
2. The cases are the portfolios; $n=79$.

## Labeling Conventions

1. Days in a yyyymmdd numerical format label the features.
2. Previously, the targets labeled the cases, e.g. "default", "paid in full". Here each case has an individual label. They are ...

Table 25. Case Labels, 1 of 4

[^0]Table 25 (continued). Case Labels, 2 of 4

| S15 | General building contractors |
| :--- | :--- |
| S16 | Heavy construction contractors |
| S17 | Special trade contractors |
| S20 | Food and kindred products |
| S21 | Tobacco manufactures |
| S22 | Textile mill products |
| S23 | Apparel and other textile products |
| S24 | Lumber and wood products |
| S25 | Furniture and fixtures |
| S26 | Paper and allied products |
| S27 | Printing and publishing |
| S28 | Chemicals and allied products |
| S29 | Petroleum and coal products |
| S30 | Rubber and miscellaneous plastics products |
| S31 | Leather and leather products |
| S32 | Stone, clay, glass, and concrete products |
| S33 | Primary metal industries |
| S34 | Fabricated metal products |
| S35 | Industrial machinery and equipment |
| S36 | Electrical and electronic equipment |
| S37 | Transportation equipment |
| S38 | Instruments and related products |
| S39 | Miscellaneous manufacturing industries |
| S41 | Local and interurban passenger transit |
| S42 | Motor freight transportation and warehousing |
| S43 | U.S. Postal Service |

Table 25 (continued). Case Labels, 3 of 4
S44 Water transportation
S45 Transportation by air
S46 Pipelines, except natural gas
S47 Transportation services
S48 Communications
S49 Electric, gas, and sanitary services
S50 Wholesale trade--durable goods
S51 Wholesale trade--nondurable goods
S52 Building materials, hardware, garden supply, \& mobile
S53 General merchandise stores
S54 Food stores
S55 Automotive dealers and gasoline service stations
S56 Apparel and accessory stores
S57 Furniture, home furnishings and equipment stores
S58 Eating and drinking places
S59 Miscellaneous retail
S60 Depository institutions
S61 Nondepository credit institutions
S62 Security, commodity brokers, and services
S63 Insurance carriers
S64 Insurance agents, brokers, and service
S65 Real estate
S67 Holding and other investment offices
S70 Hotels, rooming houses, camps, and other lodging place
S72 Personal services
S73 Business services

Table 25 (continued). Case Labels, 4 of 4

S75 Automotive repair, services, and parking<br>S76 Miscellaneous repair services<br>S78 Motion pictures<br>S79 Amusement and recreational services<br>S80 Health services<br>S81 Legal services<br>S82 Educational services<br>S83 Social services<br>S84 Museums, art galleries, botanical \& zoological garden<br>S86 Membership organizations<br>S87 Engineering and management services<br>S88 Private households<br>S89 Miscellaneous services<br>S91 Executive, legislative, and general government<br>S92 Justice, public order, and safety<br>S93 Finance, taxation, and monetary policy<br>S94 Administration of human resources<br>S95 Environmental quality and housing<br>S96 Administration of economic programs<br>S97 National security and international affairs

## Derived Features

We are interested in portfolio performance in crashes and rallies.

For rallies, these will be the returns on the S\&P 500 that exceed $4.5 \%$.

For crashes, these will be the returns on the S\&P 500 that are less than -4.5\%.

These features are in the following data sets:

## datamine/cases/assets/rally.csv datamine/cases/assets/crash.csv

They have the comma separated value format, which makes them easy to read in Excel. Here are some examples ...

Table 26. Some Crash Features and Cases

| yyyy | 1986 | 1987 | 1987 | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 | 1997 | 1998 | 2000 | 2001 |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| mmdd | 0911 | 1016 | 1019 | 1026 | 0108 | 1013 | 1027 | 0831 | 0414 | 0917 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| sp500 | -4.82 | -5.18 | -19.57 | -8.34 | -6.75 | -6.12 | -6.77 | -6.83 | -5.97 | -4.90 |  |
| nyse | -4.47 | -4.91 | -18.35 | -8.10 | -6.00 | -5.72 | -6.39 | -6.15 | -5.26 | -4.68 |  |
| BL | -4.71 | -5.35 | -17.91 | -9.05 | -6.84 | -6.12 | -6.80 | -7.69 | -6.21 | -4.64 |  |
| BM | -4.49 | -4.94 | -18.80 | -7.63 | -5.86 | -5.40 | -6.48 | -5.10 | -5.07 | -5.43 |  |
| BH | -4.37 | -4.26 | -17.54 | -7.00 | -4.62 | -5.26 | -4.96 | -4.07 | -4.81 | -6.30 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| nasdaq | -3.73 | -3.85 | -11.32 | -8.96 | -3.17 | -3.10 | -7.17 | -8.66 | -9.81 | -6.82 |  |
| SL | -4.21 | -4.50 | -13.03 | -10.36 | -3.48 | -3.96 | -7.40 | -7.1 | -8.57 | -5.43 |  |
| SM | -2.81 | -3.90 | -11.11 | -7.21 | -2.60 | -3.45 | -5.13 | -5.35 | -5.52 | -4.56 |  |
| SH | -3.04 | -4.09 | -10.87 | -7.51 | -2.55 | -3.05 | -4.80 | -5.05 | -4.76 | -5.61 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| tcm10y | -2.05 | -0.45 | 0.73 | 1.65 | -1.29 | 1.57 | 0.94 | 0.38 | 0.85 | -0.57 |  |
| tcm5y | -1.03 | -0.09 | 0.68 | 1.01 | -0.60 | 0.88 | 0.71 | 0.14 | 0.61 | -0.34 |  |
| tcm1y | -0.09 | 0.04 | 0.37 | 0.19 | -0.10 | 0.08 | 0.16 | 0.01 | 0.06 | 0.01 |  |
| yen | 1.03 | 0.42 | -0.65 | -0.46 | 0.44 | -0.70 | 0.11 | -1.16 | -0.61 | 0.18 |  |
| euro | 1.36 | 0.03 | -1.33 | -0.70 | 0.70 | -0.88 | -0.66 | -0.76 | -0.42 | 0.01 |  |

## Table 27. Some Rally Features and Cases

| yyyy | 1974 | 1982 | 1987 | 1987 | 1997 | 1998 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2002 | 2002 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| mmdd | 1009 | 0817 | 1021 | 1029 | 1028 | 0908 | 0316 | 0103 | 0724 | 0729 | 1015 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| sp500 | 4.64 | 4.79 | 8.86 | 4.89 | 4.96 | 5.08 | 4.73 | 5.06 | 5.75 | 5.43 | 4.73 |
| nyse | 4.49 | 4.45 | 8.79 | 4.49 | 3.94 | 4.59 | 4.78 | 2.73 | 5.28 | 5.23 | 4.40 |
| BM | 3.49 | 4.34 | 8.28 | 4.16 | 3.81 | 4.42 | 4.77 | 1.13 | 4.88 | 5.83 | 4.78 |
| BH | 3.27 | 4.76 | 7.61 | 3.36 | 2.13 | 3.41 | 5.26 | -0.06 | 4.38 | 4.45 | 4.91 |
| SL | 2.44 | 2.23 | 8.58 | 5.49 | 3.05 | 4.74 | 1.06 | 5.47 | 4.11 | 4.85 | 4.27 |
| SM | 1.86 | 1.92 | 6.28 | 3.27 | 1.36 | 3.19 | 1.93 | 2.79 | 3.55 | 4.64 | 3.87 |
| SH | 1.50 | 2.20 | 6.54 | 3.01 | 1.34 | 2.33 | 1.40 | 2.14 | 2.59 | 4.12 | 3.53 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| nasdaq | 2.52 | 1.72 | 7.36 | 5.57 | 4.60 | 6.03 | 2.90 | 14.27 | 5.00 | 5.81 | 5.12 |
| BL | 5.18 | 4.50 | 9.65 | 6.06 | 5.23 | 5.50 | 4.80 | 6.45 | 6.09 | 5.41 | 4.57 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| tcm10y | 0.00 | 3.90 | 0.91 | 1.10 | -0.66 | -0.19 | 0.28 | -2.09 | -0.19 | -1.82 | -2.31 |
| tcm1y | -0.19 | 0.50 | 0.11 | 0.06 | -0.11 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.07 | 0.02 | -0.13 | -0.10 |
| tcm5y | -0.23 | 1.73 | 0.60 | 0.46 | -0.48 | 0.05 | 0.14 | -0.86 | -0.05 | -1.06 | -1.36 |
| yen | -0.36 | 0.00 | 0.21 | -0.67 | -1.80 | -1.42 | 0.05 | -0.41 | -0.88 | 0.91 | 0.50 |
| euro | -1.81 | -0.52 | 0.30 | -1.41 | -1.91 | -0.53 | -0.15 | -0.09 | -0.12 | 1.05 | 0.45 |

## Moving On

This concludes our discussion of the case and data per se.

We shall now consider the principal components visualization method.

## Visualization Methods

It is hard to make progress if one cannot see what one is doing.

Therefore, most cluster analysis tools have visualization methods associated with them, as we shall see.

Principal components is a visualization method that does not rely on a tool. Consequently, it is good place to start.

## Principal Components

The goal of principal components is to find a new coordinate system that reveals the structure of the data:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) & \rightarrow\left(c_{1}, c_{2}\right) \quad \text { two features } \\
\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right) & \rightarrow\left(c_{1}, c_{2}, c_{3}\right) \quad \text { three features }
\end{aligned}
$$

The $c_{i}$ are the projections onto the new coordinate system and are called the principal componant values or principal components for short. We can think of them as derived features.

The new coordinate system has these propertiess

1. The axes of the new coordiate system are at right angles to each other in the old coordinate system.
2. $\operatorname{Var}\left(c_{1}\right)>\operatorname{Var}\left(c_{2}\right)>\operatorname{Var}\left(c_{3}\right)$.

Fig 75. Principal Components


The values $\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)$ of two features for 200 cases from two clusters, red and $\varsigma$ in the left panel. The new axes are the black lines. The value $c_{1}$ for a p $\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)$ is computed by dropping a perpendicular from $\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)$, black star, t blue line. Similarly, $c_{2}$ for the shorter axis, purple line. The principal compon are plotted in the right panel.

## Principal Component Loadings

The principal component values $\left(c_{1}, c_{2}\right)$ in Figure 75 are computed using a formula of the form

$$
\begin{aligned}
& c_{1}=w_{11} x_{1}+w_{12} x_{2} \\
& c_{2}=w_{21} x_{1}+w_{22} x_{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

The weights $w_{i j}$ are called principal component loadings.

They are also called principal component directions because they define the axes in the left panel of Figure 75; i.e., the right endpoint of the long axis is $\left(w_{11}, w_{12}\right)$.

## Data Simplification

The property

$$
\operatorname{Var}\left(c_{1}\right)>\operatorname{Var}\left(c_{2}\right)>\operatorname{Var}\left(c_{3}\right)
$$

usually allows a dimensionality reduction.

Fig 76. Principal Components: Three Dimensions


The values $\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right)$ of three features for 240 cases from three clusters, and green, and yellow, are plotted in the left panel. The first two princ component directions are the black lines; the third is the dashed line. Plot only the first two principal component values $\left(c_{1}, c_{2}\right)$, right panel, achiev dimensionality reduction with no loss of information.

Fig 77. The Relation of Regression to Principal Components


This is the left panel of Figure 75 with regression lines added. The blue line is the regression of $x_{2}$ on $x_{1}$. The purple is $x_{1}$ on $x_{2}$.

## Principal Components Details

The next six slides discuss the math and computations for principal components.

They are included for completeness. They will not be discussed in class. They may be skipped if they do not interest you.

Hereafter I will denote feaures by $r_{i}$ rather than $x_{i}$ because the case deals with returns and $r$ is the customary notation for returns.

## Principal Components - The Math

Attention is restricted to features $C_{j}$ of the form

$$
c_{i j}=\sum_{k=1}^{p} r_{i k} w_{k j}
$$

where $\left(r_{i 1}, r_{i 2}, \ldots ., r_{i p}\right)$ are the features for portfolio $i$, which can be thought of as a row from either Tables 26 or 27 . The weights are required to satisfy $\sum_{k=1}^{p} w_{k j}^{2}=1$.

The first principal component has weights $w_{k 1}$, called the component directions or the factor loading, that maximize

$$
\operatorname{Var}\left(C_{j}\right)=\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(c_{i j}-\bar{c}_{j}\right)^{2}
$$

where $n$ is the number of portfolios and $\bar{c}_{j}=$ $\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} c_{i j}$.

## Principal Components - The Computations

Let $X$ be a matrix with rows $\left(r_{i 1}, r_{i 2}, \ldots, r_{i p}\right)$; $X$ has $n=79$ rows and $p=10$ columns for the crash data. Let $\bar{x}$ be the average of the rows, which is a 1 by $p=10$ vector for the crash data.

Compute the following eigen-value eigen-vector decomposition

$$
X^{\prime} X-n \bar{x}^{\prime} \bar{x}=W D W^{\prime}
$$

where $D$ is a diagonal matrix containing the sorted eigen values, largest first.

The columns of $W$ are the principal component directions; the columns $C_{j}$ of $C=X W$ contain the principal component values $c_{i j}$.

In some applications, ours included, $\bar{x}$ is put to zero. In ours, the results with and without the mean correction are similar.

## Crash Loadings

Loadings:

|  | Comp.1 | Comp. 2 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | 0.170 | 0 |
| 2 | 0.199 | 0 |
| 3 | 0.664 | 0.348 |
| 4 | 0.368 | 0 |
| 5 | 0.214 | 0 |
| 6 | 0.222 | 0 |
| 7 | 0.252 | 0 |
| 8 | 0.255 | -0.118 |
| 9 | 0.226 | 0 |
| 10 | 0.283 | -0.919 |

The S\&P500's crash features are
$-4.82-5.18-19.57-8.34-6.75-6.12-6.77-6.83-5.97-4.90$
so the value of its first principal component is
$(0.170)(-4.82)+(0.199)(-5.18)+\ldots+(0.283)(-4.90)=-26.90$
and of its second is
$0+0+(0.348)(-19.57)+\ldots+(-0.919)(-4.90)=-3.13$

## Principal Component Loadings

Principal components are derived features that are linear combinations of the features; that is, features whose value $c_{i j}$ for case $i$ and feature $j$ has the form

$$
c_{i j}=\sum_{k=1}^{p} r_{i k} w_{k j}
$$

The weights $w_{k j}$ are called loadings or component directions.

The next two slides display the loadings for crashes and rallies.

## Rally Loadings



## Carrying On

Let's see how principal components does with our problem.

For crashes, the next figure shows how much of the total variance each of the principal components accounts for.

The slide after that plots the first two principal component values.

Fig 78. Crash Principal Component Variances


Fig 79. Performance in Crashes


## Carrying On

The next two slides are the same thing for rallies.

Fig 80. Rally Principal Component Variances


Fig 81. Performance in Rallies


## Appraisal

The first principal component accounts for the overwhelming amount of the variation.

There are certainly two groups of portfolios, those that perform like bonds and those like stocks.

There is a hint that stocks can be split into two groups, those like the Nasdaq index and those like the S\&P 500 index.

## Unsupervised Learning

In our application, an operational definition of unsupervised learning is that we want to associate these 79 labels to a much smaller number of labels that could replace them for value at risk purposes.

Stated differently, the goal is to replace meaningless labels with targets that have meaning and could even be used subsequently to train a classifier.

## Hastie, Tibshirani, and Friedman, p. 438

With supervised learning there is a clear measure of success, or lack thereof, that can be used to judge adequacy in particular situations and to compare the effectiveness of different methods over various situations.

It is difficult to ascertain the validity of inferences drawn from the output of most unsupervised learning algorithms. One must resort to heuristic arguments not only for motivating the algorithms [...] but also for judgments as to the quality of the results.

This uncomfortable situation has led to heavy proliferation of proposed methods, since effectiveness is a matter of opinion and cannot be verified directly.

## Strategy

In this situation it seems best to try several different methods and try to synthesize the results.

That is what we shall do.

## Moving On

Our next topic is hierarchical clustering.
Most clustering methods, including hierarchical clustering, require a measure of dissimilarity between cases ...

## Dissimilarities

Following standard convention, let $X$ by a matrix where features are columns and cases are rows; $X$ has $n$ rows and $p$ columns and would look like Table 26 but with more rows. The features for case $i$ would be in row $i$ and will be denoted here by $x_{i}$.

The most commonly used measure of dissimilarity between two cases $x_{i}$ and $x_{i^{\prime}}$ is Euclidean distance

$$
D\left(x_{i}, x_{i^{\prime}}\right)=\sum_{j=1}^{p}\left(x_{i j}-x_{i^{\prime} j}\right)^{2}
$$

To attach less importance to large differences, one can use

$$
D\left(x_{i}, x_{i^{\prime}}\right)=\sum_{j=1}^{p}\left|x_{i j}-x_{i^{\prime} j}\right|
$$

## Dissimilarities

Another common choice is based on the correlation between case $x_{i}$ and case $x_{i^{\prime}}$

$$
D\left(x_{i}, x_{i^{\prime}}\right)=1-\operatorname{Corr}\left(x_{i}, x_{i^{\prime}}\right) .
$$

Dissimilarities can be mixed or weighted, e.g.

$$
D\left(x_{i}, x_{i^{\prime}}\right)=\frac{1}{2}\left(x_{i 1}-x_{i^{\prime} 1}\right)^{2}+\left|x_{i 2}-x_{i^{\prime}}\right|+\cdots
$$

But whatever is done, it must be that $D\left(x_{i}, x_{i}\right)=$ 0 for the same case and that $D\left(x_{i}, x_{i^{\prime}}\right)>0$ for different cases.

## Dissimilarities

For ordinal variables like Small, Medium, Large, one can code them as $-1,0,1$ and use a numeric dissimilarity measure.

For categorical variables or when no mathematical expression seems right, one can assign values to the $D\left(x_{i}, x_{i^{\prime}}\right)$ by hand, making sure that for identical cases $D\left(x_{i}, x_{i^{\prime}}\right)=0$ and that $D\left(x_{i}, x_{i^{\prime}}\right)$ is positive otherwise.

## Value at Risk Dissimilarities

Let $X$ be all assets and returns under consideration, which would have $n=79$ rows and $p=8442$ columns. Our crash dissimilarity measure is

$$
D\left(x_{i}, x_{i^{\prime}}\right)=\sum_{S P 500_{j}<-4.5}\left(x_{i j}-x_{i^{\prime} j}\right)^{2}
$$

and our rally dissimilarity measure is

$$
D\left(x_{i}, x_{i^{\prime}}\right)=\sum_{S P 500_{j}>4.5}\left(x_{i j}-x_{i^{\prime} j}\right)^{2}
$$

There are other dissimilarity measures one might consider such as taking the sum over all dates in a recession or expansion.

## Same Song, Second Verse

As just seen, choosing a measure of dissimilarity involves two choices:

1. What (derived) features dissimilarity will depend upon.
2. How differences in these (derived) features are to be measured.

The first is far more important: The better the features the better the tool's performance.

As we have seen more than once, even simple tools work well with good features and often sophisticated tools cannot overcome bad features.

## Moving On

Let's now consider the hierarchical clustering toolkit ...

## Hierarchical Clustering

Hierarchical clustering builds what is called a dendogram and looks like a decision tree.

The clusters at each level of the dendogram are created by merging clusters at the next lower level.

At the lowest level, each cluster contains a single case.

At the highest level there is only one cluster containing all the data.

Here is an example ...

Fig 82. Ward Dendogram for Crashes


## Interpretation

If we cluster at the level of the dendogram marked 150, we would have two groups:

1. Bonds and foreign exchange.
2. Stock portfolios.

If we cluster at the level of the dendogram marked 50, we would have four groups:

1. Bonds and foreign exchange.
2. Stock portfolios like small cap value stocks.
3. Stock portfolios like the S\&P500 Index.
4. Stock portfolios like the Nasdaq Index.

## How to Make a Dendogram

Start at the bottom and pair each case with the case that has the smallest dissimilarity.

Then work up and recursively merge the pair of clusters that has the smallest group dissimilarity.

Draw the join at a vertical height that is the value of the group dissimilarity between the two joined groups.

This is aglomerative or bottom-up clustering; divisive or top-down hierarchical clustering is little used.

What has not yet been defined is "group dissimilarity" and this is where methods differ. We define it next ...

## Group Dissimilarity

Single Linkage takes group dissimilarity to be the dissimilarity between the two closest pair of cases, one from each group.

Complete Linkage takes group dissimilarity to be the dissimilarity between the two farthest cases pair of cases, one from each group.

Average Linkage takes group dissimilarity to be the average of the dissimilarities between all pairs of cases, one from each group.

Ward's Linkage aims at finding compact spherical clusters. Tries to find the grouping that minimizes within SS\&CP. Mimics K-means.

## Which to Use?

Presenting the arguments for and against each method would take twenty slides and not leave us with a definitive conclusion.

If the data actually falls into a few small tight groups, all methods will find them.

If not, since evaluation is subjective anyway, it seems best to examine them all to see if any of them suggest something interesting.

Fig 83. Single Linkage Dendogram for Crashes


Fig 86.
Crashes

## лоя шелбориәа әбехи! ר рлем




## Moving On

Lastly, we consider K-means, the most popular clustering method.

It's main disadvantage is that the user has to tell it how many clusters there are, which is the $K$ of K-means, and give it a center for each cluster.

Since we now have a good idea how many clusters there are and know a member from each - tcm5y, sp500, and nasdaq - this will not be a problem for us.

We will use these three members as our initial cluster centers.

## K-Means, Iter 0

The next figure plots our three initial cluster centers together with all cases.

Fig 91. K-Means Initialization, Crashes


## K-Means, Assign Cases to Centers

The next step is to assign cases to the cluster centers.

One computes the Euclidean distance from the case's features to the cluster center's features. The case is assigned to the cluster for which the distance to the cluster center is smallest.

To say the same thing with math, if $c_{k}$ denotes a center cluster with feature values $c_{k j}$ and $x_{i}$ denotes a case with feature values $x_{i j}$, then one computes

$$
D\left(x_{i}, c_{k}\right)=\sum_{j=1}^{p}\left(x_{i j}-c_{k j}\right)^{2}
$$

for $k=1, \ldots, K$. Case $x_{i}$ is assigned to that cluster $k$ for which $D\left(x_{i}, c_{k}\right)$ is smallest.

## K-Means, Iter 1

The next figure shows the assignment cases to our initial cluster centers.

Fig 92. K-Means Iter 1, Crashes


## K-Means, Recompute Cluster Centers

The next step is to recompute cluster centers.
For each feature, compute the mean of that feature over all cases in the cluster. These means become the features of the new center for the cluster. This is the means of K-means.

To say the same thing with math, let $C_{k}$ be the indexes of the cases in cluster $k$ and let $n_{k}$ be the number of cases in $C_{k}$. For example, looking at Fig 92, the cases in cluster 1 are tcm10y, tcm1y, tcm3y, tcm5y, tcm7y, yen, euro and the indexes that correspond to them are $C_{1}=\{67,68,69,70,71,72,73\}$. These number $n_{1}=7$. Compute the means

$$
m_{k j}=\frac{1}{n_{k}} \sum_{i \in C_{k}} x_{i j}
$$

The new cluster center is

$$
c_{k}=\left(m_{k 1}, m_{k 2}, \ldots, m_{k p}\right)
$$

## K-Means, Iter 1

The next figure shows the new cluster centers and the cases assigned to the new cluster centers.

Fig 93. K-Means Iter 2, Crashes


## The K-Means Algorithm

The K-means algorithm proceeds in this way

1. Recompute cluster centers
2. Assign cases to clusters
until cases stop moving among clusters.

## Clusters for Crashes and Rallies

In our application, convergence is very quick. Fig 93 basically gives the final answer.

Here is that figure repeated without the dots to indicate cluster centers followed by the same thing for rallies.

Fig 94. K-Means Clusters, Crashes


Fig 95. K-Means Clusters, Rallies


## Implications for Value at Risk

From the point of view of a leveraged investor who does not want to risk either bankruptcy or an involuntarily liquidation of securities in a market crash.

- There is no silver bullet: The only protection in a crash is cash or cash equivalents.
- For a value at risk computation, one can apparently beat the curse of dimensionality by averaging one's securities by cluster thereby reducing to three portfolios and then only bothering with the correlations among these three in crashes.


## Interpretation

1. In crashes the nasdaq cluster beats the S\&P500 cluster.
2. The nasdaq index is a poor representer of its cluster. It has not outperformed the S\&P500 index in the last four crashes although the same seems not to be true of its cluster; see Table 26
3. In rallies the nasdaq cluster beats the S\&P500 cluster.
4. The Fama-French portfolios switch clusters in crashes and rallies.

## Details

What is often done is to try to get K-means to find $K$ and the optimal groupings - the task that we used hierarchical clustering to perform.

This is done by running K -means many times with randomly allocated initial clusters. For each $K$, that configuration that produces the smallest value of the within cluster variance is chosen.

Most software has a mechanism to do this automatically.

My view is that if one has a hierarchical clustering tool, it will do a better job of both initial cluster determination and providing a feel for the data than K-means with random start.

## Unsupervised Learning: Synthesis

- Evaluation of unsupervised learning results is subjective.
- The main tools of unsupervised learning are the cluster analysis tools: principal components, hierarchical clustering, and Kmeans.
- These tools complement each other. Hierarchical clustering helps to get an initial feel for the data and to find likely clusters. This information feeds into K-means for refinement. Principal components helps visualize K-mean results.
- Success depends critically on good feature selection.


[^0]:    sp500 S\&P500 index portfolio
    nyse NYSE index portfolio
    nasdaq Nasdaq index portfolio
    tcm1y One year US Treasury bill
    tcm3y Three year US Treasury bond
    tcm5y Five year US Treasury bond
    tcm7y Seven year US Treasury bond
    tcm10y Ten year US Treasury bond
    yen Japanese Yen to US Dollar exchange rate
    euro First DM then Euro to US Dollar exchange rate
    SL Fama-French small cap, low book to market
    SM Fama-French small cap, medium book to market
    SH Fama-French small cap, high book to market
    BL Fama-French large cap, low book to market
    BM Fama-French large cap, medium book to market
    BH Fama-French large cap, high book to market
    S01 Agricultural production-crops
    S02 Agricultural production-livestock
    S07 Agricultural services
    S08 Forestry
    S09 Fishing, hunting, and trapping
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